

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	, 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/601,913	•	08/08/2000	Sergei Mikhailovich Safronov	V-177	5275	
802	7590	03/01/2006		EXAMINER		
DELLET		TERS	RADA, ALEX P			
P. O. BOX 82788 PORTLAND, OR 97282-0788				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3713		
				DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		09/601,	913	SAFRONOV ET A	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
		Alex P. f		3713					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on ti	ne cover sheet with t	the correspondence ad	ldress				
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st- ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this come DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 14 November	2005.						
, —	· ·	2b)☐ This action is							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte</i> G	uayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 17-20 is/are pending in the	application.							
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·									
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[]	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner							
, —	'		o) objected to by	the Examiner.	•				
. • / 🗀	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	•		-	, ,				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority			lication No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies		• •		Stane .				
	application from the Internation	, ,			Clage				
* (See the attached detailed Office action	,	, ,,	ceived.					
·									
Attachmen	• •								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) lail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)				
_	er No(s)/Mail Date		6)						
S Patent and T	rademark Office								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not disclose the limitation of a telemetry channel for transmitting game event occurrence data outside the Earth to the Earth. The examiner request application to point out in the specification as originally filed the subject matter of a telemetry channel for transmitting game event occurrence data outside the Earth to the Earth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

For the claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must provide a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

Art Unit: 3713

In this case, claims 16-20 do not provide a tangible result. The claims merely recite the transmission of game event occurrence data outside the Earth to the Earth. The results of the game event occurrence data is never presented, displayed, or otherwise awarded to a player. A process is statutory if it requires physical acts to be performed outside the computer independent of and following the steps to be performed by a programmed computer, where those acts involve the manipulation of tangible physical objects and result in the object having a different physical attribute or structure. Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. at 187, 209 USPQ at 8. Thus, if a process claim includes one or more post-computer process steps that result in a physical transformation outside the computer (beyond merely conveying the direct result of the computer operation), the claim is clearly statutory (MPEP 2106 IV (B)(2)(i)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kitazawa (JP 05-286500).

Application/Control Number: 09/601,913

7. Kitazawa discloses the following:

The use of satellites (space vehicle) randomly moving in space outside the Earth observes and catches some space debris (game elements), an event assessment means (device 3) located within the satellite body and having game fields (detecting sensors 2), and a technical facility, wherein the debris detecting sensors (2) transmit the detection signal to the device (3) to detect the hitting of the fields by the elements (space debris) located on board the space vehicle (satellite) as recited in claim 17. The satellites in Kitazawa, in its broadest reasonable interpretation, is the space vehicle; the game elements, in its broadest reasonable interpretation, is the space debris; the game event assessment means, in its broadest reasonable interpretation, is the device or equipment (3), the technical facility for registering a game event, in its broadest reasonable interpretation is the debris detecting sensors (2) transmit the detection signal to the device (3) to detect the hitting of the game fields by the elements (space debris) located on board the space vehicle (satellite).

The game elements comprise of space waste, in which the examiner interprets the space debris to be equivalent to space waste as recited in claim 18.

The game elements comprise of meteorite particles, in which the examiner interprets the space debris to be equivalent to meteorite particles as recited in claim 19.

The game elements comprise of mainly meteorite particles and space waste, in which the examiner interprets the space debris to be equivalent to meteorite particle and space waste as recited in claim 20.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,913

Kitazawa does not appear to expressly disclose a telemetry channel for transmitting game event occurrence data from outside of the earth to the earth. Kitazawa's satellite is placed into orbit for the purpose of collecting data concerning the occurrence of objects striking the satellite's sensors. In order to be of value, this data must be transmitted to earth. Therefore, Kitazawa's system must inherently include a telemetry channel for reporting the collected data (i.e., game occurrence data) to earth.

In the alternative, even if a telemetry signal were not inherent in Kitazawa's system, Examiner takes official notice of the fact that telemetry is conventionally employed in the satellite art to provide data concerning a satellite's condition and events occurring on a satellite to the control station on earth. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kitazawa to include a telemetry channel in order to follow standard practice in the industry by providing data concerning a satellite's condition and events occurring on a satellite to the control station on earth.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex P. Rada whose telephone number is 571-272-4452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on 571-272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



XUAN M. THAI PRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC3700